Drain: RAQUET & EHMAN Drain #: 29
Improvement/Arm: ISRAEL EHMAN
Operator: J. L. VING STON Date: 5-26-0x
Drain Classification: Urban Rural Year Installed: 1909

GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains
- Digitize & Attribute SSD
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch
- Stamp Plans
- Sum drain lengths & Validate
- Enter Improvements into Posse
- Enter Drain Age into Posse
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse
- Check Database entries for errors

Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost

	Drain Length Log						
Drain-Improvement:	RAQUE	T & EHMA	J - ISKA	EL EHW	AN		
					Marantan;		
Drain Type:	Size:	Length	Length (DB Query)	Length Reconcile	Price:	Cost:	
TILE	18"	2976	2976		650/17	19,344.=	
OPEN DITCH		624'	624'		19.55/f	12,197. 30	
	-						
	Sum:	3400	3600			31,543	

Some

TO LITTLE CICERO CREEK. NO OTHER

OCTOBER TERM

TUES OCT 5th 1909

Pursuant to adjournment the Board met at 9 o'clock A M on Tuesday the 5th day of October 1909. Present John E Clark, T E Beals and Hamilton Metsket, Commissioners, Walter White, Sheriff and N W Cowgill, Auditor and Clerk of said Board.

IN THE MATTER OF THE

ISREAL EHMAN DRAIN

On the 3rd day of September 1909, came Hugh Johnson, Surveyor of Hamilton County, Indiana, to whom was referred the above entitled petition for drainage, and filed which the Auditor of said County his report thereon, which said report is in the following words and figures, to-wit:-

STATE OF INDIANA

SS:

HAMILTON COUNTY

IN THE MATTER OF THE

REPORT OF HUGH JOHNSON

ISREAL EHMAN ET AL DRAIN.

COUNTY SURVEYOR.

The undersigned Surveyor of Hamilton County, Indiana, to whom was

TO THE HONORABLE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, HAMILTON COUNTY, INDIANA: -

fully report that on Aug 27th 1909, with the assistance of Deputy Surveyor made all necessary surveys and personal inspection of all lands likely to be affected by the proposed work and that I would report as follows:—

That the drainage asked for in the petition is practicable, that said proposed work when completed would improve the public health and will be of public utility and there would be two public highways benefited. I would further report that the cost of construction, damages and expenses of effecting said proposed drainage will be less than the benefits to the owners of the lands likely to be benefited and assessed for the proposed drainage and that I am not in any way related to any of the parties likely to be assessed.

referred the above entitled petition for drainage on August 2nd 1909, would respect

LOCATION

Beginning at a point 30 rods north of the southwest corner of the SE SE quarter of section 22, township 20 north, range 4 east, in an old open ditch known as the Wright and Kinder Drain and following the meanderings of the old open ditch a distance of 3600 feet.

REQUIREMENTS

Said ditch to consist of one row of 18 inch drain tile from station 0 to station 29 plus 66 and one row of 18 inch sewer tile from station 29 plus 66 to station 29 plus 76 and an open ditch from station 29 plus 76 to station 36, one foot wide in the bottom.

STONE WALL

At station 29 plus 76 to be constructed a stone retaining wall 18'x6'x2', said wall to be built of field rock laid up in mortar made of one part Portland Cement and three parts clean, sharp sand and so constructed as to receive the tile at proper grade 18 inches above the base of the wall.

ESTIZATED COST

828 cubic yards excavation	\$ 207.00
2970 feet 18 inch drain tile	786.05
10 feet 18 inch sewer tile	·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6.00
Stone retaining wall 18'x6'x2'	30.00
Connecting Box at station O(See Engineer's plans)	10.00
Laying tile, filling ditch, clearing banks etc.	100.00
Taking up old tile and deepening trade of new ditch	25.00
Catch basin at station 14 plus 28 in east side ditc	h • <u>* 18.00</u>

FALL OF ESTABLISHED GRADE

23/100 feet to each 100 feet from sta 0 to sta 29 plus 76. Tile.
23/100 feet to each 100 feet from sta 29 plus 76 to sta 36. Open.

		,
. •	ASSESSMENTS AS CORRECTED.	
Dora Stehman	Description Acres Pt NW NW 26 20 4 20	Amount as corrected 108.20
Henry Stehman	Pt NE NW 26 20 4 1	5.40
J F Wiseman & Wf	Pt SE SE 22 20 4 10	54.00
Matilda Creek	Pt SE SE 22 20 4 28	202.00 216.40
B F Kinder	Pt SW SE 22 20 4 38 Pt NE SE 22 20 4 7	203.00 37.80
Gilbert M Kinder	Pt SW SE 22 20 4 2	10.80
Phillip Roquet	Pt NW SE 22 20 4 22	119.00
Henry Brehm	PT SE SW 22 20 4 30	129.50
Howard Frezee Est	PT SW SW 22 20 4 3	8.65
E C Hunter	Bt NW NE 27 20 4 20	86.50
D W Barker	PT NE NE 27 20 4 1	4.40
Isreal Ehman	PT S SW 23 20 4 25	268.00 288.00
Jackson Township	Highway N&S22/23 20 4	
	" E&W3/26 20 4	35.00

State of Indiana SS: Hamilton County

The undersigned, Surveyor of Hamilton County, Indiana, to whom was referred the above entitled petition for drainage, after being first duly sworn upon his cath says that I have made a personal inspection of each tract of land as set out in this report, and that I have examined the whole line and route of the proposed drain and that no other lands will be benefited than those set out in this report and that no damages have been awarded to any person on account of said proposed drain and that the above report is true insubstance and in fact as I verily believe.

Hugh Johnson
Surveyor Hamilton County

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3rd day of Sept 1909.

N W Cowgill

Auditor Hamilton County

Come now the petitioners herein in person and by their attorney Fred E Hines, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Board by the affidavit of H C Hines that each and all of the parties named in the report of the Surveyor as being affected by said petition and report for more than ten days prior hereto, and the Board being duly advised in the premises finds that said report should be amended as to the number of acres of land assessed to Matilda Creek and Isreal Ehman and said report is hereby referred to Hugh Johnson, Surveyor of Hamilton County with instructions to review the lands of Matilda Creek and Isreal Ehman and report to the Board on Tuesday October 12th 1909, as to his doings herein, all of which is ordered and adjudged by said Board.